



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/672,921	09/26/2003	David Woodhouse	0113715.00142US1	9463
68998 7590 02/14/2008 WILMERHALE / RED HAT, INC. 60 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109				
EXAMINER				
WOOD, WILLIAM H				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2193				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/14/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/672,921

**Applicant(s)**

WOODHOUSE, DAVID

**Examiner**

William H. Wood

**Art Unit**

2193

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) William H. Wood.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ronald R. Demsher.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant2) ☐ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yese) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.Identification of prior art discussed: Tridgell.Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed limitation concerning "storing ... checking data in a cache". Further discussed whether reference shows a cache. Further discussed potential language along the lines of "disk based cache". Examiner does not believe concept of a cache clearly overcomes reference either alone or in combination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/William H. Wood/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.